



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,933	05/01/2001	Barry Schwab	600-003	3650
7590 01/26/2006			EXAMINER	
Law Office of John Chupa & Associates, P.C.			DERWICH, KRISTIN M	
28535 Orchard 1	Lake Rd.			
Suite 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			2132	
3	,			

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/846,933	SCHWAB, BARRY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kristin Derwich	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments/amendments with respect to amended claims 18-56 filed January 3, 2006, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection has been withdrawn. However, after further search new prior art was discovered which necessitates a new grounds of rejection. The Examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (See MPEP 706.07a) based on the June 27, 2005 presentation of the claims. It is noted however that applicant's support does not encompass several features of the instant application and Houvener is not disqualified as prior art.

Claim Objections

The renumbering of the claims is acceptable, therefore the previous objections have been withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Amendments to the claims in order to correct the previous informalities are acceptable, therefore the previous rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 18-24, 26-30, 32, (37 and 39-43 for ray) rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lu et al. (Lu), U.S. Patent Number 5,432,864.

Art Unit: 2132

Hereafter patent literature that is referenced as prior art will be cited by column and line number in the form of (column number:line number range). For example, the citation (6:23-27) refers to lines 23-27 of the 6th column in the reference.

As per claims 18:

Lu discloses a method for identifying an item comprising the steps of:

creating an image of said item (7:23-47, 10:1-16);

creating descriptive text of said item (10:1-16 wherein identification is descriptive text);

associating said descriptive text with said image (10:1-16); and

selectively using said image and said associated and descriptive text to identify said item

(10:1-16).

As per claim 19:

Lu discloses a method wherein said item comprises an individual (10:1-16).

As per claim 20:

Houvener discloses a method of identifying an item comprising the steps of:

creating an image of said item (7:23-47);

creating a descriptive record of said item (7:48-66);

storing said created image and said descriptive record (7:48-66);

presenting a tangible object (fig. 3, item 30, 9:4-20);

displaying said previously stored image and said previously stored descriptive record in

response to said presentment of said tangible object (9:4-20); and

Application/Control Number: 09/846,933

Art Unit: 2132

using said previously stored image and previously stored descriptive record to identify

Page 4

said item (9:4-20).

As per claim 21:

Lu discloses a method wherein said item comprises an individual (10:1-16).

As per claim 22:

Lu discloses a method wherein said tangible object comprises a card having certain

predetermined data (9:4-20).

As per claim 23:

Lu discloses a method wherein said step of using said previously stored image and said

previously stored descriptive record to identify said item comprises the step of comparing said

previously stored image with said individual and of comparing said previously stored descriptive

record with said individual (9:4-45).

As per claim 24:

Lu discloses a method further comprising the step of allowing said individual to perform

some predetermined action only if said individual has been identified (9:46-64).

As per claim 26:

Lu discloses a method wherein said predetermined action comprises performing a retail

transaction (9:46-64).

As per claim 27:

Lu discloses a method wherein said predetermined action comprises performing a

financial transaction (9:46-64).

As per claim 28:

Art Unit: 2132

Lu discloses a method wherein said created image is stored within a first database (6:59-63) and wherein said descriptive record is stored within a second database (8:61-9:2).

As per claim 29:

Lu discloses a method wherein said descriptive record is stored within a database and wherein said created image is stored as a separate file (8:22-49).

2. Claims 30, 32, 37 and 39-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ray et al. (Ray), U.S. Patent Number 5,321,751

As per claim 30:

Ray discloses a method for identifying an item, said method comprising the steps of:

creating an image of said item (4:8-21);

compressing said created image (3:67-68, 4:30-33);

storing said compressed image and created image(4:19-26);

creating text (4:52-58);

storing said created text (4:52-58);

associating said created text with said stored and compressed image (4:52-58);

selectively downloading said stored and compressed image (4:27-30);

decompressing said downloaded image (3:67-68);

displaying said downloaded and decompressed image and said associated text (4:27-66);

and

using said displayed decompressed image and said associated text to identify said item (4:27-66).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,933

Art Unit: 2132

As per claim 29:

Ray discloses a method wherein said item comprises an individual (4:8-21).

Page 6

As per claim 35:

Ray discloses a method of selectively identifying an item which can be used to identify a plurality of items. Therefore, it would be obvious to utilize the method of Ray a plurality of times for a plurality of items, hence each of these limitations have been met previously since each limitation in the previous claims could be applied to a plurality of items.

As per claim 36:

Ray discloses a method wherein said plurality of items comprises a plurality of individuals (2:26-35).

As per claim 37:

Houvener discloses a method for determining whether to allow a secure financial transaction to occur said method comprising the steps of:

creating an image comprising at least one unique characteristic of an entity (4:8-21); creating textual information (4:52-58);

associating said created textual information with said created image (4:52-58);

uploading, to at least one database, said created image and said created textual information (5:16-34);

retrieving said created image and said created textual information by use of received information from said entity (4:27-66);

displaying at least a portion of said received image and textual information, thereby creating a display (4:27-66);

Application/Control Number: 09/846,933

Art Unit: 2132

performing a visual comparison of said display with said entity (4:27-66); and determining whether to allow said financial transaction to occur by use of said visual comparison and said textual information (4:27-5:3).

As per claim 38:

Ray discloses a method wherein said visual comparison comprises the steps of:

ascertaining at least one physical characteristic of said entity (4:27-66); and

comparing said ascertained at least one physical characteristic with said image (4:27-66).

As per claim 39:

Ray discloses a method wherein said entity comprises a human being (4:8-21).

As per claim 40:

Ray discloses a method wherein said step of displaying said at least one portion of said received data occurs remotely from said database (5:16-34).

As per claim 41:

Ray discloses a method further comprising the steps of:

providing a terminal (4:27-30); and

coupling said terminal to said database by use of a private connection (4:62-66).

As per claim 42:

Ray discloses a method further comprising the steps of:

providing a terminal (4:27-30); and

coupling said terminal to said database by use of a public connection (5:16-34).

As per claim 43:

Ray discloses a method further comprising the steps of:

Page 7

Art Unit: 2132

providing a credential (2:36-63);

reviewing said credential (2:36-63); and

allowing said created image and said created textual information to be retrieved only upon review of said credential (2:36-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu as applied to claim 24 and further in view of Nama, U.S. Patent No. 4,991,008.

As per claim 25:

Lu fails to disclose a method wherein said predetermined action comprises using an automated teller machine. However, Nama substantially teaches utilizing an automated teller machine (4:25-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the invention of Lu to the invention Nama because Nama would increase the security of a financial transaction occurring at the ATM since it would give another alternative to depending only on readability and visual authentication and verification that is needed in Houvener (3:23-28).

Art Unit: 2132

4. Claims 31, 33, 44 and 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray as applied to claim 30 and 43 above, and further in view of Belluci et al. (Belluci), U.S. Patent No. 5,505,494.

As per claim 31:

Ray fails to disclose a method wherein said decompressed image and said associated text are concurrently displayed. However, Belluci teaches a method wherein both photographic and textual and encoded data can be regenerated on a computer screen at the same time (2:31-35).

As per claim 33:

Ray fails to disclose a method wherein said step of associating said text with said image comprises the step of placing a certain image identification tag within said text. However, Belluci teaches a method wherein the image is encoded and placed elsewhere on the identification card (2:19-23).

As per claim 44:

Ray fails to disclose a method wherein said credential comprises a token. However, Belluci teaches an identification card for user authentication which functions as a token (2:19-21).

As per claim 45:

Ray discloses a method further comprising the step of creating copies of an image (9:25-36 wherein the DMV images are copied).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the inventions of Ray and Belluci because this eliminates the sole dependability on a human verification of the image and textual information. If the person

verifying is corrupt then the system is no longer secure, therefore, Belluci would allow for the images to be machine readable and verifiable thus increasing the security of the system as a whole (2:10-18).

5. Claim 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray as applied to claim 30 above and further in view of Bijnagte, U.S. Patent No. 5,235,680.

As per claim 34:

Ray fails to disclose a method wherein said item composes a product. However, Bijnagte teaches a method wherein the item is a house in a real estate database (2:55-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the inventions of Ray and Bijnagte because Ray's invention applied to properly identifying a person and it would be obvious to apply this to properly identifying something such as a house because this would prevent people from putting up tampered pictures of a house in order to increase or decrease interest in the property.

6. Claims 46-51, 55 and 56 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bijnagte, (U.S. 5,235,680) in view of Belluci, (U.S. 5,505,494).

As per claim 46:

Bijnagte substantially teaches a method for effectuating a transaction, said method comprising the steps of:

creating a plurality of characteristics, of a plurality of items (col 13 wherein the address, number of bedrooms and bathrooms, etc are a plurality of characteristics of a plurality of houses);

associating each of said plurality of characteristics with a unique one of said plurality of items (image at col. 67 shows the characteristics representing one house);

creating a plurality of images of each of said plurality of items (3:34-39);

associating each of said plurality of images with a unique one of said plurality of items (3:43-46);

storing said created plurality of characteristics and said created plurality of images within at least one database (3:46-57);

selecting a predetermined one of said plurality of images and the characteristic which has been previously associated with said predetermined one of said plurality of images (3:34-36);

Bijnagte fails to teach a method that provides for the recognition of an identification object and in response to said recognition of said identification object, determining whether to allow said transaction to be effectuated by use of said provided image and said associated characteristic. However, Belluci discloses an identification card and when it is recognized by the computer this authenticates the holder of the card (2:10-18).

As per claim 47:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above. In addition, Belluci discloses a method wherein said identification object comprises a token (2:19-24 wherein the identification card functions as a token).

As per claim 48:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above. Belluci further discloses a method wherein said identification object comprises an identification card (2:19-24).

As per claim 49:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Page 12

Bijnagte discloses a method further comprising the step of printing said provided image after said transaction has been effectuated (col. 77, 3rd-5th paragraph, this shows that the image can be

printed at any time).

As per claim 50:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Bijnagte discloses a method further comprising the step of printing said provided image before

said transaction has been effectuated (col. 77, 3rd-5th paragraph, this shows that the image can be

printed at any time).

As per claim 51:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Bijnagte further discloses a method wherein said plurality of images is stored in a first database

and wherein said plurality of characteristics is stored in a second database (4:7-11).

As per claim 55:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Bijnagte further discloses a method wherein said transaction comprises an auction (4:29-32

wherein the online listings of houses are similar to an auction in that people bid on the houses

and highest bidder can buy the house).

As per claim 56:

Bijnagte and Belluci substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Bijnagte further discloses a method wherein each of said plurality of images may be selectively

Art Unit: 2132

associated with a plurality of items (4:32-37 wherein the various views can be associated with the plurality of images).

7. Claims 52, 53 and 55 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bijnagte in view of Belluci as applied to claim 46 above, and further in view of Houvener (5,657,389).

As per claim 52:

Bijnagte and Nama substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Houvener further discloses a method wherein said plurality of images are compressed (6:41-48).

As per claim 53:

Bijnagte and Nama substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Houvener further discloses a method wherein said plurality of images are stored in a first format and displayed in a second format (6:41-48 wherein the images are stored in the compressed format and displayed in the decompressed format).

As per claim 55:

Bijnagte and Nama substantially teach the method as applied to claim 43 above.

Houvener further discloses a method wherein said transaction comprises a financial transaction (4:39-41).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine Bijnagte and Belluci with Houvener because this eliminates the sole dependability on a human verification of the image and textual information. If the person verifying is corrupt then the system is no longer secure, therefore, Belluci would allow for the images to be machine readable and verifiable thus increasing the security of the system as a

whole (2:10-18). In addition, the security offered by Houvener and Belluci would eliminate the possibility of hackers entering false and tampered images of property in order to increase or decrease the marketability of a given house.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristin Derwich whose telephone number is 571-272-7958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristin Derwich Examiner Art Unit 2132

KMD

GILBERTO BARRON TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100